BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

MT LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 170 1981

Bill H _____ S 159 Original bill & hist. ___C

H. Committee on Judiciary			S. Committee on Fish & Game		
Hearing Date(s)	Mar 09	✓C	Hearing Date(s)	Feb 03	✓C
	Mar 10	✓C		Feb 12	✓C
	_____	___C		_____	___C
	_____	___C		_____	___C
Date Out	Mar 10	✓C		Feb 12	✓C

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? ___Yes ___No

Committee _____ Report _____

Senator Severson had serious questions concerning 87-4-121, License required--services performed--standards (page 8). Mr. Wemple replied that the law as written is unenforceable and that it was changed to protect people who are not outfitters by vocation.

ACTION TAKEN ON PROPOSED CHANGES TO OUTFITTERS AND GUIDES LAW, TITLE 87, CHAPTER 4. Senator Lee moved that the committee have a bill drafted reflecting the proposed changes as indicated in Attachment #1, and introduce the bill in the 1981 session. A roll call vote was taken. The motion carried unanimously. (Attachment #2.)

CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 159. Chairman Smith introduced Senator Mike Anderson, sponsor of SB 159, to explain the bill. He said that the purpose of the bill is to define areas of responsibility for which outfitters and guides are liable and those risks for which a participant expressly assumes the risk of loss or damage and for which there can be no recovery. It puts the responsibility of acting in a reasonably prudent manner on both the outfitters and the participants.

PROPONENTS OF SENATE BILL 159. Mr. Jim Flynn, Director of the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, spoke in favor of the bill.

Mr. Ralph Holman, Chairman of the Montana Outfitters Council, spoke in favor of the bill.

Mr. Tag Rittel, representing the Montana Outfitters and Guides Association, spoke in favor of the bill.

Mr. Smoke Elser, a self-employed outfitter, spoke in support of the bill. He stated that his liability insurance had increased 350% over the last five years.

Mr. Jack Wemple, President of the Montana Outfitters and Guides Association, spoke in support of the bill.

OPPONENTS OF SENATE BILL 159. Mr. Mike Meloy, Montana Trial Lawyers Association, spoke in opposition to the bill. He said that this bill is not necessary under the present system of dealing with accidents which may happen. He stated that in a law passed in 1975, a jury is required to compare the negligence of each of the parties in deciding whether or not to award damages. He said the bill would not solve the problem of excessive cost of liability insurance rates because those rates are based on a national scale, not on a statewide scale. He believes the bill is unfair to the consumer or the person using the services.

Chairman Smith called on Senator Anderson to close the discussion.

A considerable amount of time was spent with a question and answer period. After discussion by committee members, it was agreed that action on Senate Bill 159 would be postponed until Thursday, February 5, 1981.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m.

Ed B. Smith

Senator Ed B. Smith, Chairman

jt

ROLL CALL

FISH AND GAME COMMITTEE

47th LEGISLATIVE SESSION - - 1981 Date 2-3-81

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Smith	✓		
Galt	✓		
Severson	✓		
Lee	✓		
Eck	✓		
Berg	✓		
Jacobson	✓ 1:06		

Each day attach to minutes.

Montana State Senate
State Capitol
Helena, Montana
Senator Ed Smith: Chairman

Re: Proposed amendment to Outfitter law.

This is a housecleaning proposal. Amendments to the Outfitter law have been thoroughly discussed during the past two years and it has been generally agreed to by the vast majority of Outfitters that these amendments are needed in order to clarify provisions of the law.

1- We do have serious problems with illegal, unlicensed Outfitters who are working out of their back pockets as "just a group of friends." The Forest Service estimated that nearly 50 per cent of the Outfitting on National Forest lands is being performed by the unlicensed Outfitter at a great loss of revenue to the economy of Montana. We need to strengthen the law to slow up illegal Outfitting.

2- A number of complaints are received by the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks complaining of unqualified Outfitters and Guides, amendments will assure higher qualifications of Outfitters and Guides.

3- Extensive delays in working through the Commission in order to obtain approval of investigations (the Commission only meet a few times a year) have resulted in causing several cases to be lost because time allowed by statutes had expired. One case was lost because of a felony sentence that had been deferred. Ammendments close this loophole and permit expeditious handling of complaints.

4- Equal responsibility in the current law is too broad as it includes <u>all laws</u> of the United States. We have proposed that it should be limited to Montana Fish and Game laws.

Some controversy exists regarding the "Equal Responsibility" requirement Some Outfitters object to this section on the basis that it should be a moral obligation. A few Outfitters involved in a violation and cited under this section also object.

Outfitters who like this section use it very effectively as an important management tool by informing clients that if the client commits a violation the Outfitter is required by law to turn in the violation and

if the Outfitter doesn't his Outfitter [illegible] is in jeopardy.

The Montana Outfitters Council, after receiving assurance from the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks that they concur with terminology limiting same to Fish and Game laws of Montana and (2) that they would give us a letter of intent as to how this provision would be used voted 5 to 1 to retain Equal Responsibility.

Equal Responsibility has been a part of Fish and Game laws since 1917 and to the best of our knowledge no Outfitter has been cited under this provision if be reported a violation. We also agreed at our meeting this morning to ask your Committee to take a look at this provision and give us assistance and guidance on same.

It is our intent that by these amendments we will strengthen the Outfitter law, assure qualified Outfitters and Guides and upgrade our industry which is an important segment of Montana tourism and economy.

It is not our intent to interfere with the pleasures of a group of friends enjoying a field trip and sharing expenses.

Ralph Holman

Ralph Holman, Chairman
Montana Outfitters Council

PRESENTED BY: James W. Flynn, Director
Dept. Fish, Wildlife, & Parks

February 3, 1981

SB 159

Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, my name is Jim Flynn. I appear today on behalf of the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, & Parks, and I speak in support of SB 159.

The department does receive complaints each year regarding the performance of some outfitters. Some of these complaints are relative to the areas covered by SB 159. The language of this bill, as it is presented, would assist the department to clarify some of the areas which are currently difficult to determine. For example, SB 159 provides for duties of outfitters and guides, as well as duties of their clients. There is a means for material understanding of outfitter and guide responsibilities, as well as the participant's responsibilities, before the services are provided.

I urge a do pass on SB 159. Thank you.

Montana State Senate
State Capitol
Helena, Montana
Senate Fish and Game Committee

Senator Ed Smith: Chairman

Re: S.B. 159

Members of the Montana Outfitters Council fully support this Bill. Outfitters do on various occasion have problems with clients who want to dictate terms or rules to be applied at hunting and/or fishing camps, and actions of Guides.

Danger to client is generally involved, a client decides he wants to ride a certain horse, goes out and saddles him and gets bucked off or performs other acts which may be dangerous to himself and/or others.

Senate Bill 159 seperates and defines liability and puts both the Outfitter and client on proper notice as to what liability each has.

Reply to question: We want to notify the client that he has a responsibility and a liability. We want to avoid the cost of going to Court, attorney fees, Court costs, lost time, etc. if possible. Senate Bill 159 will prevent many misunderstandings.

Ralph Holman

Ralph Holman, Chairman
Montana Outfitters Council

NAME: Tag Ryttec DATE: 1/7

ADDRESS: Montana Outfitters and Guides Assoc
Rock Creek MT.

PHONE: 235-4370

REPRESENTING WHOM? MOGA

APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL: S.B. 159

DO YOU: SUPPORT? ✓ AMEND? _____ OPPOSE? _____

COMMENTS: We support this bill. This bill is how in coming. We are being pressed by higher insurance cost - year by year.

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY

NAME: Jack [illegible]

ADDRESS: Rt 1, Box 100A-39 - Victor Mont. 59875

PHONE: 406/642-3262

REPRESENTING WHOM? Montana Outfitters & Guides Assn.

APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL: SB 159

DO YOU: SUPPORT? X AMEND? _____ OPPOSE? _____

COMMENTS: Our ~~Industry~~ Association feels that SB159 is very beneficial to our industry. It is our belief that with this Legislation, it will be much easier for Outfitters to Aquire Liability insurance. As you are aware, Outfitters who operate on National Forest Lands are required to have Liability INSURANCE and are required to Coinsure the U.S. Govt. In the past this has made it difficult for new Outfitters to obtain insurance. With SB159, if passed, we are sure this problem will be sufficitly solved.

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY

NAME: Mike Ilem DATE: 4/3/04

ADDRESS: Kaneohe Bldg Hawaii

PHONE: 442 2402

REPRESENTING WHOM? MTLA

APPEARING ON WHICH PROPOSAL: SB 159

DO YOU: SUPPORT? _____ AMEND? _____ OPPOSE? X

COMMENTS: _____

PLEASE LEAVE ANY PREPARED STATEMENTS WITH THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY

BILL 159 VISITORS' REGISTER DATE 2/3/81

NAME	REPRESENTING	BILL #	(check one) SUPPORT	OPPOSE
Smoke Elser	Self	159	X	
Bob Crick	SELF	159	X	
Spence Trogden	SELF	159	X	
Charles G. Duffy	Self	159	X	
Greg Taylor	DFWP	159	X	
Jack Wemple, President	Montana Outfitters & Guides Assn	159	X	
Thomas L. Kelly	Self	159	X	
[illegible]	W.M.F.A.		X	
Ralph Holman	Mont. outfitter Council	159	X	
[illegible]	Businessman	159	X	
Mike Meloy	Mt Trial Lawyers	159		X
Jim Flynn	Dept FWP	159	X	

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY

MINUTES OF MEETING
FISH AND GAME COMMITTEE
MONTANA STATE SENATE

February 12, 1981

The executive session was called to order by Chairman Smith at 12:40 p.m. in Room 402 of the Capitol.

ROLL CALL: All members were present with Senator Jacobson arriving at 12:45 p.m.

ACTION TAKEN ON SENATE BILL 459, "An act defining the scope of liability for outfitters and guides and the responsibility and risk borne by participants; amending Section 87-4-101, MCA."

Senator Galt moved do pass. Senator Berg spoke against the motion. A roll call vote was taken with six voting yes and one voting no.

ACTION TAKEN ON SENATE BILL 103, "An act to make the trafficking in body parts of unlawfully taken wildlife protected by the State of Montana a felony punishable by $10,000 fine or one-year imprisonment, or both, amending Section 87-3-111, MCA."

Senator Severson moved do pass. Senator Lee seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken; the motion carried with all members voting yes.

ACTION TAKEN ON SENATE BILL 51, "An act to provide compensation for qualifying fish and game wardens for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week by paying a premium in 26 equal parts annually over and above the warden's normal salary;..."

Senator Galt moved do pass. Senator Severson spoke against the bill. Senator Lee made a substitute motion that the bill do not pass. After discussion, Senator Smith suggested two separate actions--first, act on the bill; second, authorize the drafting of a letter of intent to the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks. Senator Galt said a motion to indefinitely postpone would be proper. Senator Lee withdrew his substitute motion that the bill do not pass and moved that the bill be reported out of committee as indefinitely postponed. A roll call vote was taken and the motion carried with five voting yes and two voting no.

Senator Severson moved that a letter of intent be written and signed by all members of the committee. The letter should be addressed to Jim Flynn, Director of the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, with a copy to Jim DeBoer, President of the Montana Association of State Game Wardens. Some of the points to be considered in drafting the letter were specified as follows:

(1) No adverse action will be taken against the wardens who appeared and/or testified at the hearing February 10, 1981.

ROLL CALL

FISH AND GAME COMMITTEE

47th LEGISLATIVE SESSION - - 1981 Date _____

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Smith	✓		
Galt	✓		
Severson	✓		
Lee	✓		
Eck	✓		
Berg	✓		
Jacobson		✓	

Each day attach to minutes.

SENATE COMMITTEE FISH AND GAME

Date 2-12-81 Senate Bill No. 159 Time 12:42 p.m.

NAME	YES	NO
Smith, Ed, Chairman	✓	
Galt, Jack, Vice Chairman	✓	
Severson, Elmer	✓	
Lee, Gary	✓	
Eck, Dorothy	✓	
Berg, Harry		✓
Jacobson, Judy	✓	

Jeanette G. Thornton
Secretary

Ed B. Smith
Chairman

Motion: Do pass

(include enough information on motion—put with yellow copy of committee report.)

February 12 19 81

MR. PRESIDENT

We, your committee on SENATE FISH AND GAME

having had under consideration SENATE Bill No. 159

Respectfully report as follows: That SENATE Bill No. 159

DO PASS

Chairman.

STATE PUB. CO.
Helena, Mont.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
March 9, 1981

The meeting of the House Judiciary Committee was called to order at 8:00 a.m. in Room 437 of the Capitol by Chairman Kerry Keyser. All members were present except Rep. Conn, who was absent and Rep. Huennekens and Rep. Shelden, who were both excused. Jim Lear, Legislative Council, was present.

SENATE BILL 174 SENATOR HAGER, sponsor, stated this bill is to subject insurance companies to misdemeanor penalties for noncompliance with disposal of motor vehicle laws.

WILLIAM L. ROMINE, representing the Wrecking Yards, supported the bill. EXHIBIT 1.

There were no further proponents.

There were no opponents.

The Senator closed the bill.

REP. KEEDY asked why on line 12, 75-10-520 is to be removed yet it is not on line 16. ROMINE replied if the car is sold to a wrecking yard it must be a licensed wrecking yard. It was crossed out on line 12 because anyone can violate that section. It is not crossed out on the next line because that is referring to the orders of the Solid Waste Division. A fine of $50 a day would be given. The second section would exclude the insurance company.

REP. HANNAH asked how many licensed wrecking yards there are in Montana. ROMINE replied almost all of them are licensed, approximately 125. REP. HANNAH asked if they are all licensed what is the need for the bill. ROMINE responded some of the yards do not renew their license from year to year. The basic purpose is to try to ensure the people would be licensed. If a body shop over the years collected junk cars in the back for spare parts that would be considered as a wrecking yard under the statutes.

ROMINE further stated they have had a problem with the manpower available. The Wrecking Yard Association tried to get laws passed to find a way to give an incentive to become licensed. If this is passed, we will have local control.

SENATE BILL 159 SENATOR ANDERSON, sponsor, stated this bill is to amend 87-4-101 to define the scope of liability for outfitters. EXHIBIT 2, an amendment to the bill, was handed out. This bill parallels very closely with other western states, and it follows

the Idaho law.

This bill would not allow outfitters or guides to be liable in grossly negligent actions committed by the participant.

JIM FLYNN, Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks, supported the bill. EXHIBIT 3.

There were no further proponents.

MIKE MELOY, Montana Trial Lawyers Association, opposed the bill. This bill enacts the same provisions as the ski area operations bill. It that bill there were certain duties imposed upon the skier and the operator. A duty of the ski area operator was to mark hazards skiers might not see. This bill does not place any restrictions on the outfitter, but the participant has a list of items he may not do. This bill relieves the outfitter of any liability where the participant has not complied with one of the duties in Section 4. The amendment relieves the outfitter of all liability unless he is grossly negligent. This would return us back to the days of contributory negligence. The public recognizes there are certain risks with these activities.

There were no further proponents.

In closing, SENATOR ANDERSON stated there are many people who will not follow orders that go on these activities. This bill will protect the outfitter.

REP. SEIFERT asked what percentage of outfitters are working within the state that are not licensed. FLYNN presumed all were licensed.

REP. CURTISS asked how many liability cases there are per year. MELOY did not know. FLYNN also did not know.

REP. EUDAILY asked why guides were in the bill. FLYNN replied guides work under the jurisdiction of the outfitters. REP. EUDAILY stated some guides work on a one-to-one basis. FLYNN replied it was his understanding this was just on a permission basis.

REP. HANNAH asked if outfitters were being sued. SENATOR ANDERSON replied yes.

SENATE BILL 170 REP. KEYSER, presenting the bill for SENATOR TOWE, stated this bill is to amend 70-22-109 to remove the filing fee for filing the complete corner record under the Corner Recordation Act.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

SENATE BILL 89 REP. BROWN moved do not pass as amended. The motion carried with KEYSER, CURTISS and EUDAILY opposing the motion.

SENATE BILL 112 REP. KEEDY moved do pass.

REP. KEEDY moved the amendments be adopted. EXHIBIT 7. The amendments carried.

REP. KEEDY moved do pass as amended. The motion carried.

SENATE BILL 119 REP. BROWN moved do pass. The motion carried.

SENATE BILL 145 REP. MCLANE moved do pass. The motion carried.

SENATE BILL 149 REP. SEIFERT moved do not pass.

REP. HANNAH agreed with the motion as he felt it did not accomplish the intention. The motion carried with YARDLEY, BROWN, BENNETT, and TEAGUE opposing.

SENATE BILL 159 REP. MCLANE moved do pass.

REP. YARDLEY did not like the assumption of risk involved. There is not a need for this type of legislation.

REP. HANNAH stated he likes the intent of the bill but felt it was too broad. It seems it lets the outfitters out of their responsibility.

REP. BROWN made a substitute motion of do not pass.

REP. MCLANE expressed there is a need for protection of the outfitters. REP. SEIFERT stated there is a problem with people who are not licensed.

REP. KEEDY moved on page 4, line 6 following "participant" to strike the rest of the line through "he" on line 8. Following "receive" insert "permission" and strike "sufficient and construction". Following "guide" insert "." and strike the rest of line 9 and line 10.

The motion carried with EUDAILY and BROWN opposing.

REP. KEEDY moved on page 3, line 1 following "act" insert "or omission". The amendment passed unanimously.

REP. KEEDY moved on page 2, line 7 following "D" add "for consideration". The motion carried with YARDLEY and EUDAILY opposing.

REP. KEEDY moved on page 4, line 17 following section 4 to insert "except where he has committed gross negligence or an intentional tort". The motion carried with REP. EUDAILY opposing.

The motion of do not pass as amended resulted in a roll call vote. Those voting yes were: EUDAILY, ANDERSON, DAILY, TEAGUE, YARDLEY, and BROWN. Those voting no were: KEYSER, SEIFERT, BENNETT, CURTISS, HANNAH, IVERSON, MATSKO, MCLANE, ABRAMS and KEEDY. The motion failed 10 to 6. The vote was reversed to do pass as amended. Those voting yes were: KEYSER, SEIFERT, BENNETT, CURTISS, HANNAH, IVERSON, MATSKO, MCLANE, ABRAMS, and KEEDY. Those voting no were: EUDAILY, ANDERSON, DAILY, TEAGUE, YARDLEY and BROWN.

SENATE BILL 174 REP. IVERSON moved do not pass.

The motion carried.

SENATE BILL 159 REP. KEEDY moved to reconsider action on Senate Bill 159 for the purpose of further amendments. The motion carried.

REP. KEEDY moved to strike on page 4, line 11 subsection c and to insert "to himself or any other person thereto". The amendment carried.

The bill was held for further action at the next executive session.

The meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

KERRY KEYSER, CHAIRMAN

mr

AMENDMENT FOR SENATE BILL 159

1. Page 4, lines 17 through 21.
Following: "[Section 4]" on line 17
Insert: "except where the outfitter or guide has committed gross negligence or an intentional tort"
Following: "." on line 17
Strike: line 17 through "apply" on line 21

March 9, 1981

PRESENTED BY: James W. Flynn, Director
Department of Fish, Wildlife & Parks

Before the House Judiciary Committee

SB159

Mr. Chairman, members of the committee: My name is Jim Flynn. I appear today on behalf of the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, and I speak in support of SB159.

The department receives complaints each year regarding the performance of some outfitters. Some of these complaints are relative to areas covered by SB159. The language of this bill, as it is presented, would assist the department to clarify some of the areas which are currently difficult to determine. For example, SB159 provides for duties of outfitters and guides, as well as duties of their clients.

I recommend a "do concur" on SB159. Thank you.

VISITORS' REGISTER

HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

SENATE
BILL 159 Date 3/9/81

SPONSOR Anderson

NAME	RESIDENCE	REPRESENTING	SUP-PORT	OP-POSE
Jim Flynn	Helena Mt	Dept FWP	X	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR LONGER FORM.

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

Form CS-33
1-81

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
March 10, 1981

The executive session of the House Judiciary Committee was called to order at 9:00 a.m. in Room 437 of the Capitol by Chairman Kerry Keyser. All members were present except Rep. Huennekens, who was excused and Rep. Bennett, who was absent. Jim Lear, Legislative Council, was present.

SENATE BILL 14 REP. DAILY moved do not pass.

REP. DAILY felt this would punish the poor and benefit the rich.

REP. CONN made a substitute motion of do pass. This may provide some punishment for people who commit white collar crimes.

REP. DAILY responded the rich people know the judges, therefore, the rich people will receive the fine and the poor people will go to jail.

REP. YARDLEY supported the substitute motion. County attorneys have been supporting this type of legislation for years. This gives a more realistic measure to go by.

REP. HANNAH stated the committee has been saying the judges are too subjective. This bill is a step in the wrong direction. We are condoning a system nobody likes. Page 3 of the bill, lines 1-8 indicate if he loses his job there is no penalty. REP. HANNAH felt this was a questionable bill.

REP. CONN stated it would be punitive to punish the entire family when it was the father who committed the action.

REP. KEYSER stated he felt the installment payment plan of the bill was a problem, however, the person could be brought back in for missing a payment, which might be a deterrent.

The motion of do pass resulted in a roll call vote. Those voting yes were: KEYSER, CONN, EUDAILY, IVERSON, MATSKO, ANDERSON, ABRAMS, KEEDY, YARDLEY, TEAGUE and BROWN. Those voting no were: SEIFERT, HANNAH, MCLANE, DAILY, and SHELDEN. The motion carried 11 to 5.

SENATE BILL 120 REP. DAILY moved do pass.

REP. BROWN moved to strike sections 2, 3, and 8 from the bill. The motion carried unanimously.

REP. DAILY moved do pass as amended. The motion carried unanimously.

SENATE BILL 159 REP. KEEDY moved to strike the new section, page 4, lines 15-24 and to renumber subsequent sections. The motion carried.

The amendments from the last executive session for this bill were read to the committee.

REP. CURTISS moved do pass as amended.

REP. EUDAILY made a motion to strike on page 5, lines 3 after "damaging" and to renumber the following sections. The amendment carried.

The motion of do pass as amended carried with REP. SEIFERT voting against the motion.

SENATE BILL 162 REP. SEIFERT moved do not pass.

REP. EUDAILY moved to adopt the amendment as provided by the sponsor and to strike (b) of 19(b). REP. EUDAILY withdrew his motion.

REP. KEEDY felt that section 1 of the bill was worth saving. REP. HANNAH asked how will that help clear up the courts and what kinds of problems will occur if we don't clear it up? REP. KEEDY was not sure. REP. HANNAH stated the sponsor felt the legal community thought this was clear but the courts disagree.

A roll call vote on the motion of do not pass resulted. Those voting yes were: KEYSER, SEIFERT, CONN, CURTISS, EUDAILY, HANNAH, IVERSON, MCLANE, and ABRAMS. Those voting no were: ANDERSON, DAILY, SHELDEN, TEAGUE, YARDLEY and BROWN. The motion carried 9 to 6.

SENATE BILL 170 REP. CURTISS moved do pass. She felt this would reduce the fee. Surveyors can survey over and over again and it is useless.

The motion of do pass carried with DAILY, EUDAILY, KEYSER and CONN voting no.

SENATE BILL 171 REP. BROWN moved do pass. The motion carried unanimously.

SENATE BILL 173 REP. SEIFERT moved do pass. The motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 10:20 a.m.

Kerry Keyser Chairman

KERRY KEYSER, CHAIRMAN
mr